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Section 1 Introduction and Caveats

... progress on poverty has been achieved by pursuing a strategy that has two equally important elements. The first element is to promote the productive use of the poor’s most abundant asset - labour. It calls for policies that harness market incentives, social and political institutions, infrastructure and technology to that end. The second is to provide basic social services to the poor. Primary health care, family planning, nutrition and primary education are especially important ... a program of well-targeted transfers and safety nets [is] an essential complement to this basic strategy (World Development Report 1990: The 2.5 point Strategy; WB 1990, 3).

The new evidence and broader thinking do not negate earlier strategies – such as that of WDR 1990. But they do show the need to broaden the agenda. Attacking poverty requires actions that go beyond the economic domain … Acknowledging the need for a broader agenda, this report proposes a general framework for action in three areas:

Promoting Opportunity: expanding economic opportunity for poor people by stimulating overall growth and by building up their assets and increasing the returns on those assets.

Facilitating Empowerment: making state institutions more accountable and responsive to poor people, strengthening the participation of poor people in political processes and local decision-making and removing the social barriers the result from distinctions of gender, ethnicity, race and social status.


Something has changed in the discourse on poverty. The above two quotes are from the World Bank's flagship annual World Development Reports. The 1990 and 2000 versions of the World Development Report focused on poverty. The 1990 report presented the so-called 2.5 point strategy based on labour-intensive growth, social sector investments and transfers/safety-nets for those excluded. The 2000 report does not repudiate the 1990 vision but broadens it, using the language of opportunity, empowerment and security. The rhetoric has indeed changed.

Arguably this change in rhetoric reflects three main changes in thinking about poverty which have gained increasing currency over the past decade.

First, the concept of poverty has been broadened. This is reflected in the move from a physiological model of deprivation to a social one, and subsequently, in the increasing attention afforded issues of vulnerability, inequality and human rights. Section 2 reviews these changes.

Second, the causal structure has been broadened to include a range of causal variables which previously received little attention. These have been phrased as 'forms of capital' and include social, political, cultural, coercive and environmental capital. Section 3 reviews these changes and discusses their relevance for policy.
Third, the causal structure has been *deepened* to focus on flows of individuals into and out of poverty, rather than on changes in the stock of poverty. Section 4 reviews recent empirical findings associated with the focus on flows and discusses the policy implications.

There are at least four caveats which should be kept in mind when reviewing the analysis.

First, the above changes are 'new' only in the sense that they are now being increasingly incorporated within the 'mainstream' discourse on poverty. All of the underlying ideas, however, are quite old, and have appeared in different guises over the years.

Second, the opening quotations from the World Bank’s *World Development Reports* were used because they provide good statements of the major changes in thinking about poverty. This does not imply that the World Bank spearheaded most of these changes, which it did not. Further, it doesn’t imply that the World Bank has taken the lead in putting these changes into practice, which remains to be determined.

Third, diagrams are used throughout to visually present the major points in the paper. These can be skipped without loss for those who prefer the textual exposition.

Fourth, the paper is written with the developing world in mind. Some of the ideas may generalise more broadly but the examples and illustrations all relate to the experience of poverty reduction in this region.
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The concept of poverty has undergone at least four changes over the past decade:

First, there has been a shift from a physiological model of deprivation, focused on the non-fulfilment of basic material or biological needs to a social model of deprivation model, focused on such elements as lack of autonomy, powerlessness, lack of self-respect/dignity, etc.

Second, there has been renewed emphasis placed on the concept of vulnerability and its relationship to poverty (however defined).

Third, the concept of inequality, and its relationship to poverty, has re-emerged as a central concern.

Fourth, the idea that poverty should be conceptualised as the violation of basic human rights has been powerfully argued of late by UN system agencies, among others.

Section 1.1 From Physiological to Social Deprivation

The physiological deprivation model has been the conception of poverty which underlies the most widely used approaches to poverty analysis in the developing world. The two main poverty approaches which rely on the physiological model are: the Income/Consumption (I/C) Poverty approach and some versions of the Basic Human Needs (BHN) Approach.

The Income/Consumption (I/C) Approach

A person is poor in any period if, and only if, her or his access to economic resources is insufficient … [to] acquire enough commodities to meet basic material needs adequately (Lipton 1997, 127).

The I/C approach to poverty is used extensively in applied welfare economics. The approach combines two distinct elements: first, well-being is conceived as preference fulfilment and represented in terms of ‘equivalent’ income or consumption (money metric utility); second, an income/consumption poverty line is drawn which represents a need adequacy level. The ‘poor’ are those whose income or consumption falls below this poverty line. Poverty may be conceived of as non-fulfilment of ‘basic’ preferences.

The physiological deprivation model underlies the specification of the poverty level. While there are different ways to derive this poverty line, two techniques are in widespread use. The first, the food energy method, estimates a food energy minimum required to satisfy dietary energy (caloric) requirements and then determines the level of income/consumption at which this minimum is typically met. The second, the food-share method, estimates the minimum cost of a food basket which satisfies the food energy minimum and multiplies this by the non-food share in total consumption of a sub-group definitionally classified as poor (e.g. the bottom 20% of the population).

---

While both of these approaches allow for more than simply dietary energy needs (food consumption), they are anchored on the physiological deprivation model.

**The Basic Human Need (BHN) Approach**

Basic needs may be interpreted in terms of minimum specified quantities of such things as food, clothing, shelter, water and sanitation that are necessary to prevent ill health, undernourishment and the like … (Streeten et. al. 1981, 25)

The BHN approach reached the height of its popularity in the 1970s. While there are a number of different versions of the BHN approach, a prominent variant affords primacy to basic physiological deprivation. Deprivation is conceived of as inadequate fulfilment of a number of basic needs relating to nutrition, health, education, shelter, water, sanitation, etc. The BHN approach differed from the I/C approach to poverty in three ways: first, it usually specifies a complete basket of basic need goods/services (food, health, education, water, sanitation, etc.) or related achievements (nutrition, life expectancy, mortality, etc.) rather than relying on the indirect methods of the FEM and FSM approaches to determine non-food needs; second, it represents relevant aspects of well-being in terms of the different need goods/services or achievements (or in some cases a composite indicator) but not in terms of equivalent income/consumption; third, it sets an adequacy level for each of the different need goods/services instead of specifying an income/consumption poverty line based on dietary energy adequacy.

The social deprivation model challenges the physiological deprivation model on two different levels: first, it rejects the representation of relevant aspects of well-being in terms of equivalent income/consumption (I/C approach) or basic need goods/services and achievements (BHN approach); second, it rejects the specification of a need adequacy levels in terms of basic physiological deprivation in both I/C and BHN approaches. In practice, these two critiques coalesce because reliance on non-physiological components of well-being often precludes exclusive reliance on a physiologically-based need adequacy level.

Of the many different formulations of the social deprivation model, three are particularly relevant to the developing world.

**Human Poverty Approach**

It is in the deprivation of the lives that people can lead that poverty manifests itself. Poverty can involve not only the lack of the necessities of material well-being, but the denial of opportunities for living a tolerable life. Life can be prematurely shortened. It can be made difficult, painful or hazardous … deprived of knowledge and communication … robbed of dignity, confidence and self-respect… All are aspects of poverty that limit and blight the lives of many millions in the world today (UNDP 1997, 15)

The Human Poverty approach has been advanced recently by UNDP in its Human Development Reports. The approach draws heavily on the conceptual framework provided by Amartya Sen.

---

2 See, *inter alia*, Gasper (1996a, 1996b) and Streeten (1981; 1984),

Sen conceptualises poverty or deprivation in terms of the absence of certain basic capabilities to function. 4 Sen’s underlying idea is that poverty should include both what we can or cannot do (capabilities), the commodity requirements of these capabilities which differ interpersonally and over time, and what we are or are not doing (functionnings). UNDP uses this conceptual framework and proceeds to specify some of the basic capabilities in question. It includes the capability to ‘lead a long, healthy, creative life and to enjoy a decent standard of living, freedom, dignity self-respect and the respect of others’ (UNDP 1997, 15).

Social Exclusion Approach 5

The Social Exclusion approach has recently been propounded by the International Institute for Labour Studies at the ILO. As a conceptualisation of poverty or deprivation, it comes very close to the ‘relative deprivation’ conception of poverty expounded, inter alia, by British sociologist Peter Townsend. 6 The underlying idea is that poverty or deprivation is best regarded as lack of resources required to participate in activities and enjoy living standards which are customary or widely accepted in society. The Social Exclusion approach connects poverty closely with issues of citizenship and social integration and their associated resource requirements.

Participatory Approach 7

... deprivation and well-being as perceived by poor people ... question the degree of primacy often attributed to income-poverty... Income matters, but so too do other aspects of well-being and the quality of life - health, security, self-respect, justice, access to goods and services, family and social life ... (Chambers 1995, 29)

The Participatory approach is really not a way of conceptualising poverty/deprivation as it is a means of determining who should do the conceptualising. It argues that conceptualisations of poverty and deprivation must follow an interactive process involving Participatory Poverty Assessment (PPA) facilitator and local people engaged as participants in dialogue. It is an example of the social deprivation model, however, because local definitions of deprivation often go beyond physiological deprivation and sometimes afford greater weight to social than physiological elements of deprivation. Elements of deprivation which often figure prominently in the PA approach in lack of dignity, self-respect, security, justice, etc.

The policy implications of the shift from a physiological to a social model of deprivation are discussed in the following Section as they are linked to the broadening of the causal framework.

---

4 Concise expositions of this schema are provided in Sen (1984, 1987, 1993).
5 See the series of articles in Rodgers et. al. (1995) and de Haan (1997).
6 See, in particular, Townsend (1979, 1985).
Section 1.2 Vulnerability

Vulnerability is not poverty, however defined. It is the likelihood of falling into poverty or falling into greater poverty. This may be phrased as 'downside risk.' Vulnerability is a function of two main variables: exposure and response to downward pressures. Downward pressure are sometimes referred to as stresses and shocks, the former gradual and cumulative and latter sudden and unpredictable. The relatively recent attention given to the concept of vulnerability, within the discourse on poverty, is due to at least three considerations: 1) the fact that vulnerability is often cited as a major concern in participatory poverty assessments; 2) the recent availability of panel data which allows one to track individual households over time; 3) the Asian crisis which brought out starkly the dire social consequences of vulnerability in countries which had fared quite well in reducing long-term chronic poverty.

The emphasis on vulnerability is very closely linked with the third change in thinking about poverty discussed in Section 4, the 'deepening' of the causal framework. The policy consequences of a vulnerability-focused approach are discussed at length in this section.

Section 1.3 Inequality

Inequality is also different from poverty, however defined. Inequality is usually concerned with the distribution of some well-being indicator (often, consumption or income) over an entire population. Inequality has been 'rediscovered' in recent years for a number of reasons including: 1) research results affirming that on average, the rate at which growth reduces poverty is higher, the lower the level of inequality (Ravallion, 1997); 2) a growing, though still inconclusive, body of evidence suggesting the higher inequality reduces the rate of growth (Aghion et. al. 1999); 3) the fact that some social ills, such as crime and conflict, appear to be a function of inequality and not 'absolute' poverty levels (Bourguignon, 1998); 4) the rapid rise in inequality in some OECD, transition and developing countries in recent years (Cornia 1999); 5) the apparent increase in global income inequality (though this is sensitive to measures of inequality change in India and China) (Milanovic 1999).

The policy implications of the dual focus on inequality and poverty relate to disentangling the relationship between inequality reduction, poverty reduction and growth. In practice, this entails examining the distributional and growth effects of specific policy measures and, in cases of tradeoff, making a decision about the relative importance to be afforded growth or equity objectives. Certain potential areas of tradeoff will be highlighted in the text.

---

9 This is a somewhat arbitrary distinction given that vulnerability often figures as a major dimension of deprivation in PPA findings. The distinction is useful however, as it does have operational significance.
11 It is closely related to some measures of income/consumption poverty, such as those which base the poverty line on a percentage or mean or medium income. It is not the same thing however.
Section 1.4 Violation of Basic Human Rights

... a decent standard of living, adequate nutrition, health care and other social and economic achievements are not just development goals. They are human rights inherent in human freedom and dignity (UNDP 2000, 73)

The conceptualisation of poverty as the violation of basic human rights has received attention recently due largely to the efforts of UN System Agencies and human rights organisations. The case to conceive of poverty in human rights terms is largely due to the affirmation of the equal status of economic, social and cultural rights on one hand and civil and political rights on the other. Though economic, social and cultural rights appeared alongside civil and political rights in the 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights, they were subsequently separated in two Covenants adopted by the UN in 1966. The separation of the two sets of rights was driven largely by Cold War geo-political considerations with the Socialist bloc and allies favouring the former and the liberal democracies favouring the latter.

The language of human rights adds to the expanded conceptualisation of poverty the notion that poverty is the denial of an entitlement, a right which is unfulfilled. Many of the specific rights in question however, including the civil and political rights, already figure in some way in the expanded conception of social deprivation. For this reason, it is likely that the real value-added of the language of rights is to redirect attention to different types of tools, in particular legal and juridical, which may be used in the fight to reduce poverty. This is due to the fact that rights, unlike preferences and (probably) needs, imply a claim and a duty for their fulfillment.

---

Section 3 Broadening the Causal Framework

The second major change in thinking about poverty has been the broadening of the causal framework to include a range of causal variables which previously received little attention. This second change may be conceptualised in terms of broadening the 'forms of capital' which have figured in poverty analysis. Section 3.1 below explains what is meant by 'capital' and provides examples.

As discussion in the preceding section, the first major development in thinking about poverty dynamics has been the introduction of different forms of 'capital' into standard poverty analysis. The present section outlines some of the policy implications, schematically depicted in Figure . It explores the evolution in thinking about poverty beginning with the poverty reduction strategy advanced by the World Bank in its 1990 World Development Report.

Section 3.1 Causal Variables: Forms of 'Capital'

One way of thinking about causal variables, which has recently been applied in the poverty literature, is in terms of different forms of 'capital'. The term 'capital' is being used in the broadest sense as resources or assets which may be utilised to achieve social objectives, however defined.

A decade ago, standard analysis of poverty dynamics was based largely, if not exclusively, on economic and human capital. Over the past decade, five additional forms of capital have come to play an increasingly important role: social, political, cultural, coercive and natural capital. It is useful to briefly review the central characteristics of all seven of these forms of capital, schematically depicted in Figure 1.

1. Economic Capital corresponds broadly to those factors of production (land, labour, capital) which generate primary income as well as economic assets (livestock, jewellery, etc.) and credit.
2. Human Capital refers to individual characteristics or attributes which are central for the achievement of human goals. A short list would include satisfactory levels of physical and cognitive development due to adequate health, nutrition and education.
3. Social Capital refers to those social organisations, relationships and networks which facilitate co-ordination and management of extra-market and collective tasks and which

---

13 Much of this section is drawn from Shaffer (1998a).
14 The analysis of processes of social change in terms of forms of 'capital' is found in Bebbington (1999), Bevan and Ssewaya (1995), Bevan and Fullerton Joireman (1997) and Bourdieu (1986). Similar analyses are provided in Moser (1998), UNDP (1997) and some of the 'entitlements' literature which uses the terminology of assets instead of capital (Gasper 1992; Swift, 1989).
15 Primary income refers to income generated directly through the production and exchange of goods and services (Stewart 1985). It is transmitted through markets, in particular labour and product markets. It is distinguished from secondary income which refers to the distribution of income after taxation and benefits through public or private transfers. These include, inter alia, subsidised goods (food) and services (health, education), remittances, pension receipts, etc.
16 Social capital has become a vogue concept and spawned a huge literature. See Woolcock (1998) for an overview and, inter alia, Narayan (1999), for its relevance to poverty.
provide critical support in times of crisis. Social capital relates closely to concepts of trust and reciprocity.

4. **Political Capital** comprises the network of informal and formal political alliances which provide access to resources and confer decision-making authority.

5. **Cultural Capital** includes those norms, beliefs and values which assign roles, confer status and determine entitlements and obligations of different social groups (based on gender, caste, age, ethnicity, etc.).

6. **Coercive Capital** which includes sources of violence, intimidation, force, etc., is a means of enforcing social norms and maintaining (at times, repressive) social relationships.

7. **Natural Capital** refers to the quality and quantity of the stock of available natural resources, including common property resources, and to the knowledge/skills required for natural resource management and conservation.

Changes in any one of the above forms of capital interact in complex ways with other forms of capital to constitute poverty-relevant social change. In many cases, changes in forms of capital are mutually supportive and promote the same social objective. For example, social mobilisation by scheduled caste members (social capital) to extricate themselves from repressive patron-client relationships may in turn have positive implications for cultural capital (status, roles) and coercive capital (fear, intimidation). Furthermore, there may be mutual reinforcing links between the different forms of capital and different underlying conceptions of well-being. For example, a positive change in economic capital (income) may effect a positive change in cultural capital (status) and political capital (decision-making authority). In cases such as these, analyses based on different forms of capital may very well lead to similar policy prescriptions (e.g. Grameen Bank-type social organisation for credit access).

There are cases, however, where the analyses either conflict or diverge, with implications for policy prescription. Three scenarios present themselves.

First, changes in forms of capital may have conflicting effects on each other and on different aspects of well-being. Thus, increases in economic capital (income) and corresponding declines in physiological deprivation may come at the expense of dignity, self-respect and social capital, if employment is particularly degrading or humiliating and if it undercuts existing social organisations or the capacity to organise (e.g. by reinforcing patron-client relationships.).

Second, different emphasis may be placed on the relative importance of different forms of capital in promoting a given social objective even if they move in the same direction. Thus, the relative importance afforded say, economic (income) or human (nutrition) capital in promoting nutritional improvements may very well determine the types of intervention deemed appropriate.

---

17 One example is provided by Jodha (1988) who analysed data on income and quality of life indicators in two villages in Rajasthan, India in 1963-66 and 1982-84. He found that while villagers had become more 'income poor' over this time period, their overall quality of life had improved. Improvements were of five types: reduced reliance on traditional patrons and landlords; reduced dependence on low pay-off jobs; improved mobility and liquidity position; acquisition of consumer durables.

18 This issue has come to the fore in the context of debates about calorie income elasticities. Some recent evidence has revised downwards conventional estimates of changes in caloric intake associated with an extra unit of income. One potential policy implication is that direct nutrition intervention may be
Third, different types of capital and different aspects of wellbeing may be unrelated. Thus, changes in cultural and social capital for the sake of ending practices such as slavery, rape, violence, etc. may bear little relation to changes in economic capital and economic aspects of well-being.

In all three of these cases, policy prescriptions derived from analyses of different forms of capital would differ. Section 3.2 provides other examples of different policy prescriptions generated by analyses of different forms of capital.

Section 3.2 The 1990 Approach: Economic and Human Capital

*World Development Report 1990* heralded a major policy shift for the World Bank. Poverty reduction became the World Bank’s overriding operational objective based on the dual strategy of labour-intensive growth and investment in human capital (health, education) with safety nets/transfers proposed for those excluded from growth. This position represented a widely held view of the main causal forces which would serve to reduce poverty in the years to come.

This strategy is based on a physiological model of deprivation and on human and economic capital-based conceptions of social change. It is an amalgam of three different approaches to poverty reduction, the direct transfer, human capital and production function approaches, all of which will be discussed in turn.

Section 3.2.1 Direct Transfer Approach/Interventions

The Direct Transfer Approach to poverty reduction is the only one which doesn't rely on the above analyses of forms of capital. It is included, however, because of its importance as an anti-poverty strategy. This approach aims to transfer cash or in-kind income to the poor by providing subsidised goods and services or employment guarantees. As such, its primary benefit is realised directly by the transfer and not mediated by the above forms of capital (although second-order effects may work through these forms of capital if say, nutrition subsidies build human capital which increases productivity, etc.). This approach is situated within the purview of the physiological deprivation model. The objective of increasing (or smoothing) income levels of the poor and/or supplying basic goods and services (health, nutrition, etc.) is intended to facilitate basic preference fulfilment and/or basic need satisfaction.

The three main categories of direct transfer (safety net) interventions are: in-kind transfers; cash transfers and public employment schemes. In-kind transfers are of four types: price subsidies, quantity rationing schemes, food stamps and nutrition interventions often targeted to the poor by food type (coarse grains, roots) or by characteristics of the recipient (geographic, gender, etc.). Cash transfer measures with a poverty focus include social assistance, such as old-age, widow or disability pension schemes, and family assistance programs. Public works schemes serve the function of creating or maintaining basic infrastructure (roads, irrigation, health, education, etc.) preferable to income generation schemes as a means of improving nutritional outcomes (see, Alderman (1993) and Deaton (1997, Ch. 4) for reviews.).

---

by providing employment for those facing chronic or seasonal underemployment or unemployment (see Box 2, below).

---

**Box 1 - Public Works: Rules for Success in Poverty Alleviation**

Public works programmes have been widely used to reduce transient and chronic poverty and have significantly increased in scale over the past decade. Important programmes have been established in Bolivia, Chile, Honduras, Cape Verde, Botswana, Bangladesh and India. A number of the more important rules for success culled from the empirical record include:

1. Design Employment for Low Opportunity Cost: ensure availability during the slack season.
2. Use Self-Targeting: avoid administrative costs, leakages and political manoeuvrings.
3. Use Pro-Poor Rules: quick payment, nearness, crèches, timing of payments, etc.
4. Minimise Transaction Costs of Participation: including transport, bribes, registration, etc.
5. Ensure that Low Labour Demand Causes Poverty: surplus labour is a *sine qua non*.
7. Encourage Grassroots Pressure Groups: to monitor the scheme and prevent corruption.

---

**Section 3.2.2 Human Capital Approach/Interventions**

The Human Capital approach to poverty reduction focuses on the links between investment in education, health and nutrition and the primary incomes of the poor. Emphasis is placed on the interrelationships between these variables as well as their relative importance in explaining changes in productivity. The human capital approach is the straightforward application of the analysis of human capital-based analysis of social change. This approach is closely related to the physiological deprivation model insofar as the primarily objective is to increase basic preference fulfilment by increasing primary incomes to increase basic needs satisfaction directly by social service provision.

Major expenditure items for human capital interventions are education and health with smaller allocations to nutrition and water/sanitation. Poverty-focused education interventions have concentrated on rural-based primary education, basic literacy programmes, overcoming gender biases in education access, etc. Pro-poor health interventions have emphasised rural primary health care on a community-based rehabilitation (CBR) model, access to pre and post natal care, immunisation programmes, population and family planning programmes, etc. Pro-poor nutrition interventions have focused on oral rehydration therapy, de-worming, nutrition surveillance and growth monitoring. Poverty-focused water and sanitation interventions include urban slum improvements (water lines, drainage and waste disposal systems) and community-managed rural water supply provision (hand pumps). In most cases, poverty-focused social expenditure involves some sort of targeting mechanism (e.g. geographic) with a view to facilitate disproportionate benefits for the poor (see Box 3 below).

---

20 This discussion is an adapted version of Lipton (1996).
21 See Behrman (1990), Jimenez (1985) and Strauss and Thomas (1998) for reviews.
Box 2 - Political Economy of Poverty Targeting

Are targeted poverty reduction interventions preferable to universal programs? The answer depends on a host of factors including disincentive effects as well as administrative, leakage and political costs associated with targeting. Of late, political-economy considerations have received increased attention. Analysts of very different political persuasion (e.g. public choice theorists and Marxists) have argued that targeted interventions are unlikely to work because they will not generate or maintain the requisite political support from powerful social groups who do not reap direct benefits from them. This argument, while plausible in many cases, is overstated. It does not hold in situations where: 1) powerful social groups view poverty targeting to be in their own interest; 2) the state is ideologically committed to poverty reduction. The relative costs and benefits of targeting, then, cannot be determined in the abstract and must rely on careful analysis on a case by case basis.

Section 3.2.3 Production Function Approach/Interventions

The Production Function approach to poverty reduction focuses on those mechanisms which increase the primary income of the poor. Emphasis is placed on factors which increase the level or price of output and/or the returns received by poor producers. The analysis is based on the idea of a production function whereby output is a function of factors of production (land, labour and capital) and technology. Increasing output entails increasing the volume, distribution, productivity, or changing the relative prices, of factor inputs. The Production Function approach is based primarily on the analysis of economic capital, and secondarily on human and (perhaps) social capital. It evinces a close affinity to the Income/Consumption variant of the physiological deprivation model, in so far as the primarily objective is to increase basic preference fulfilment by increasing primary incomes.

Production function interventions centre on changing factor inputs (land, labour, physical capital and financial capital) to increase the level or price of output of the poor. Table 1 lists six ways that these three inputs may contribute to raising output: 1) increasing input volume; 2) improving factor distribution holding volume constant; 3) increasing factor productivity; 4) pro-poor increases in factor productivity; 5) reducing price of inputs bought by the poor; 6) increasing the price of outputs produced intensively with inputs used by the poor. The resulting poverty interventions presented in Table 1 are summarily discussed below.

Land

Rural land-based poverty interventions include: settlement schemes, land reform, land tenure reform, technological change (high yielding varieties), subsidised input packages (fertiliser, seeds) and increased producer prices. The corresponding urban interventions include: land title

---

24 Ray (1998, Chs. 11-14) provides a good overview.
25 For the present purposes, capital is comprised of physical capital and financial capital (credit). Credit is not an input in production but it is often included as an operational part of the production function approach.
reform (including squatters rights); urban boundary expansion; multi-story construction and site and service programmes.

**Labour**
Labour-based poverty interventions include: increasing employment information; increasing participation rates (crèches, population policy); eliminating barriers to entry (anti-discrimination legislation); improving workplace health and safety; developing labour-using techniques of production; supporting small and medium enterprise (SME) development, esp. in rural non-farm and urban informal sectors. More direct labour market interventions include minimum wage legislation and child labour legislation.

**Physical Capital**
Physical capital-based poverty interventions in rural areas include provision of: irrigation, all-weather roads, tubewells; small asset subsidies and marketing support for micro-enterprises. The urban variants place particular emphasis on support for SMEs either by reducing state corruption and red-tape or by active support (subsidised consulting).

**Credit (Financial Capital)**
The principal credit-based poverty interventions support increased credit provision to the poor groups in any of the following ways: by promoting Grameen-bank type initiatives; by developing pro-poor banking within the existing banking system; by providing credit as part of SME support.

---

**Box 3 - Credit: Rules for Success in Poverty Alleviation**

Provision of microcredit has recently received considerable attention as a means of reducing poverty. The renewed emphasis on credit has been spurred by exceptionally high repayment rates achieved by a number of development finance institutions, notably the Grameen Bank. Recently, some of the allegedly beneficial consequences of microfinance provision for women and the hard-core poor have been questioned (Hulme and Mosley, 1996), as have some claims of financial sustainability, targeting accuracy and income/consumption gains due to participation (Morduch, 1999). Nevertheless, micro-credit has undoubtedly realised some successes in poverty alleviation. A number of the more important rules for success in credit provision culled from the empirical record include:

1. Respect Fungibility: allow multiple uses of loans.
2. Use Indirect Targeting: avoid administrative costs, leakages and political manoeuvrings.
3. Seek Alternatives to Physical Collateral: e.g. group lending/peer monitoring
4. Minimise Transaction Costs of Participation: e.g. paperwork, bribes, repeated visits, etc.
5. Avoid Lending Monopolies: avoid regressive credit rationing and reduced credit supply.
6. Ensure that Extra Credit is Productive: i.e. opportunities for income generation exist.
7. Subsidise Administration, not Interest: avoid reduced credit supply (by alternative lenders), expropriation of credit by wealthy borrowers, negative real interest rates, etc.

---

26 Most of this discussion is adapted from Lipton (1996).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 - The Production-Function Approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inputs</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>↑ Input Volume</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Input Redistribution</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>↑ Input Productivity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>↑↑ Pro-Poor Input Productivity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>↓ Input Prices for Products of Poor</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>↑ Output Price for Products of Poor</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Adapted from Herrick (1994) and Lipton and Ravaillon (1995).
Section 3.3 Broadening the Framework: Political, Social, Cultural and Coercive Capital

The past decade has seen the broadening of the World Bank's 2.5 point strategy of poverty reduction. The inclusion of social, political and cultural capital into the analysis of poverty is reflected in the increasing importance afforded issues which have come to fall under the heading of 'governance'.

Governance is a term that is used differently in different contexts. It has been defined in technocrat terms to refer to public sector management issues (e.g. civil service rationalisation, public enterprise management, public financial management, results-base management), in public policy terms to refer to publicly-supplied prerequisites of market functioning (private property, enforceable contracts, transparent dispute adjudication mechanisms), etc. The present definition is concerned with different issues. In its present use, governance embodies three basic principles: inclusiveness, lawfulness and accountability.

Section 3.3.1 Governance-I Approach/Interventions: Promoting Inclusiveness

Inclusiveness requires that governing structures, either formal or informal, be representative of, or give voice to, a wide range of diverse interests, including those of the poor. It presupposes that deprivation and impoverishment are due to exclusion from effective decision-making authority and seeks to redress this by empowering groups which have been historically disenfranchised. As such, inclusiveness relates closely to issues of empowerment (conscientisation and social mobilisation), participatory democracy, civil society organisation (including the role of NGOs and the media), and decentralisation. Inclusiveness bears a close relationship to social, political and cultural capital and to aspects of well-being/social deprivation related to agency, self-determination, dignity, self-respect and social integration.

Empowerment

Empowerment interventions subdivide into those which address internal and external sources of power. The internal dimension of power consists of internalised beliefs, norms and values which serve to maintain subordination within a social hierarchy. Internal empowerment is a process of questioning the validity of existing norms and beliefs and of raising awareness of possibilities hitherto considered unthinkable. There are a range of potential interventions aimed at conscientisation which depend on the nature of the power relations in question. (see Box 5 below).

---

Box 4 - Internal Empowerment through Education

The Mahila Samakhya programme launched in 1986 is India’s National Policy on Education. The express intent of the programme is to raise awareness among women of their position in a gender-based social hierarchy. The aim is for women to demand literacy on their own as their social awareness increases. The process involves mobilising women in groups at the village level to collectively demand access to services and resources. Apparently, the program has been successful at raising the esteem and self-confidence of women with beneficial spin-offs. In the words of a programme worker: ‘women are increasingly confident of tackling their issues independently … a woman whose husband was a chronic drinker, had sold virtually everything in the house to buy drink … She mobilised the entire village, and picketed the four liquor shops in the village. They said that they would not allow a single drop of liquor to be sold in that village.’

External empowerment is a process of gaining control over important aspects of one’s life usually through collective processes of organisation and mobilisation. External empowerment directs attention to the objective of building social and political capital through collective organisation and mobilisation. External empowerment may serve a range of ends including access to credit, land or health, protection of rights of women/caste members, liberation of slaves and indentured labour, etc. Complementary poverty interventions include support for those grassroots, local and non-governmental organisations, etc. which focus on improving living conditions of marginalised groups (see Box 6 below).

Box 5 - External Empowerment through Political Organisation

Nijeri Kori is a Bangladeshi NGO which has had success in building the social and political capital of the poor. It argues that the exclusion of the poor from access to public entitlements (unclaimed land, public works schemes) is a function of their lack of political power. It emphasises development of organisational capacity through activities which include training in human and skill development, legal-assistance and collective mobilisation. Mobilisation efforts by Nijeri Kori Groups have been directed at raising the agricultural wage, resisting the expropriation of unclaimed lands by powerful landlords, joint occupation and cultivation of such lands, publicising government corruption, etc.

Decentralisation

Decentralisation has figured prominently in recent years as one means of promoting inclusiveness by bringing decision-making structures closer to local people. Some proponents of

---

29 This example is from G. Sen (1997).
31 This example is from Kabeer (1994).
decentralisation argue that the process may serve to reduce poverty insofar as local governing structures are more likely to be responsive to the needs of their poor constituents. There are at least three different variants of decentralisation which aim to achieve this objective:

- **deconcentration**, or the shifting of functions within the federal government hierarchy to field offices.
- **delegation**, or the transfer of state functions to non-state or quasi-state actors
- **devolution**, or the transfer of state functions to sub-national governments

Recent evidence, however, suggests that decentralisation does not necessarily benefit the poor. The alleged link between increased local governance and pro-poor outcomes is mediated by a number of variables, many of which are absent in unsuccessful experiences of decentralisation. (see Box 7 below).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box 6 - Is Decentralisation Pro-Poor?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A recent evaluation of twelve cases of decentralisation in Asian, Latin America and sub-Saharan Africa examined the effects of decentralisation on representation/participation of the poor and on social and economic outcomes. It found only one unambiguous success (West Bengal, India), and six unambiguous failures (Ghana, Côte d'Ivoire, Bangladesh, Kenya, Nigeria, Mexico). On the basis of this analysis, the authors identified three main factors which accounted for the difference in performance:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Political Economy Issues**: Successful decentralisation required the confluence of central and local level commitment to pro-poor reform, out of ideological commitment or for political gain, including the willingness to challenge local elites seeking to capture program benefits. Where decentralisation is a conduit for political patronage from central authorities and/or where local elites are insulated from the demands of the poor, outcomes are unlikely to be pro-poor.

**Financial/Administrative Support**: Necessary inputs for successful decentralisation included adequate financing from central authorities, targeted central poverty programs or social funds and administrative capacity to take on newly acquired responsibilities.

**Time Commitment**: Successful decentralisation requires long-term support as benefits take time to materialise. The successful West Bengal example has evolved over a twenty year period.

Section 3.3.2 Governance-II Approach/Interventions: Promoting Lawfulness

Lawfulness requires that governing structures abide by the rule of law and serve as guarantors of lawful civil conduct. It grounds deprivation and impoverishment in the perverse functioning of the legal system which either fails to protect, discriminates against or remains inaccessible to poor groups (see Box 1). Lawfulness relates closely to issues of justice, conflict resolution, criminality, peace and security, social violence (including domestic violence), human rights, etc.

---

33 This example is based on Crook and Sverrisson (1999).
Lawfulness bears a close relationship to coercive, social and political capital and to aspects of well-being related to personal security.

A short-list of potential interventions to address problems of lawlessness may include:

- Legal/Judicial Reform (e.g. eliminating anti-poor laws, reducing legal technicalities, etc.)
- Improving Access to Legal Information and Legal Literacy (e.g. support for legal advocacy NGOs)
- Police Reform (e.g. community policing and training)
- Conflict Mediation and Resolution
- Human Rights Legislation/Support
- Domestic Violence Education/Awareness Campaigns.

Box 7 - Lawlessness and Poverty

The relationship between lawlessness and poverty has been understated in the poverty literature. One recent exception is Anderson (1999) who outlines a number of mechanisms by which lawlessness and poverty reinforce one another:

1. Violence by police, prison officers and other public officials has it greatest impact upon the poor, leading to death, injury, permanent disability or mental illness.
2. Corruption disproportionately harms the poor who are less able to afford premiums demanded for service provision.
3. Human rights abuses, official harassment, police abuse, etc. may disproportionately affect the poor who are less likely to have recourse to legal remedies.
4. The poor are more vulnerable to arbitrary treatment, intimidation and humiliation by public officials.
5. The poor are at greater risk of losing their property to public or private theft.

Significantly, personal insecurity due to lawlessness (violence, domestic violence, crime, official harassment) is consistently an element of deprivation which figures prominently in participatory poverty assessments (PPAs). Recent reviews of PPA results conducted by the World Bank (Narayan et al. 1999) and by independent researchers (Brock, 1999) have affirmed the critical important of lawlessness both as constituent of deprivation and cause of impoverishment or inability to escape poverty.

Section 3.3.3 Governance-III Approach/Interventions: Promoting Accountability

Accountability requires that governing structures remain answerable for their actions and open to sanction (including dismissal) if they violate say, principles of inclusiveness and lawfulness. It grounds poverty and deprivation in the culture of impunity which effectively precludes poor groups from holding authority figures to account. Accountability relates closely to issues of corruption, transparency, access to information, etc. Accountability bears a close relationship to social and political capital and to aspects of well-being related to agency.

A short-list of potential interventions to promote accountability may include:

- Electoral Reform (support for free elections)
- Legal Reform (enforcement of anti-corruption laws)
- Access to Information Promotion (support for the press, media, NGOs, and other social organisations who investigate and publicise corruption)
- Participatory Monitoring and Evaluation (e.g. citizen's report cards, social audits (see Box 8 below)).

**Box 8 - Promoting Accountability through Social Audits**

The Mazdoor Kisan Shakti Sangathan (MKSS), or Association for the Empowerment of Workers and Farmers, in the Indian state of Rajasthan has pioneered a process to call local officials to accounts for their actions. The MKSS has convened a number of *jan sunwais*, or public meetings, during which official expenditure records from local authorities are read aloud to assembled villagers and local officials. Villagers are urged to highlight discrepancies between the official record and their own experiences as labourers in public works schemes, as consumers at local ration shops, or applicants for means tested poverty benefits. This form of 'social audit' has been effective in exposing significant sums earmarked for the poor which have been misappropriated by corrupt officials. The MKSS is an example of the potential for access to information coupled with social mobilisation as a tool for ensuring public accountability.

**Section 3.4 Globalisation and the Broadened Causal Framework**

What is the relevance of the broadening of the causal framework to globalisation? Globalisation is a term which is defined in different ways. Here, it will be used in its broadest sense to refer to the increasing interdependence and integration of the world's peoples and nations. It is a process with economic, social, political and cultural dimensions. It is reflected in increasing trade, labour and financial flows, growing technological exchange, as well as the increasing spread of norms and beliefs relating to political systems, cultural practice, legal and juridical forms, etc. There are at least three ways in which these forces of globalisation relate closely to the broadened causal framework of poverty.

First, one aspect of globalisation is the spread of democrat structures and the increasing attention given to civil and political rights. Many of the issues addressed in Section 3.3 on governance, including empowerment, legal and police reform, the right-to-information, etc. have been given impetus by this aspect of globalisation. They have also been explicitly linked to the poverty agenda by important donor agencies. The UK's Department of International Development writes in their white paper entitled 'Making Globalisation Work for the Poor' (pp. 26-27) that:

> Globalisation has been associated with a growth in democracy … and human rights … Making political institutions work for the poor means helping to

35 This example is based on Jenkins and Goetz (1999a, 1999b).
strengthen the voices of the poor and helping them to realise their human rights. It means empowering them to make their own decisions … and it means removing forms of discrimination - in legislation and government policies - the prevent poor people from having control over their own lives. Governments must be willing to let people speak, and to develop mechanisms to ensure they are heard.

In this case, forces of globalisation accentuate the importance of political and social capital to poverty reduction. They also bring out the intrinsic importance of certain aspects of social deprivation, such as disempowerment, lack of agency, etc.

Second, the cultural critique of globalisation rests on the view that it is leading to the global dominance of 'western', and mainly American, consumption patterns, tastes and culture (Barber 1995). There is a fear that this process, which may very well increase material prosperity, is coming at the expense of the global diversity of cultural norms and practice. In this case, cultural capital is being degraded with negative consequences for certain dimensions of social deprivation.

Third, renewed attention has been placed on the relationships between globalisation, conflict and poverty. There are powerful forces of globalisation which have served to fuel or aggravate conflicts including: the global arms trade, the trade in precious metals which finance conflict, the global implications of the so-called 'war of terrorism', etc. Further the mutually reinforcing links between conflict and poverty has received considerable attention recent at the level of research and operational practice (World Bank 1998, DFID 2000). In this case, issues of globalisation accentuate the centrality of coercive and political capital to poverty as well as the absence of peace and security as constituents of social deprivation.

---

36 Both the World Bank and the World Institute for Development Economics Research (WIDER) have recently undertaken major research projects on this subject (Nafziger et. al. 2000; Collier 2000).
Figure 2 Poverty Reduction Strategies: An Overview
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Section 4 Deepening the Causal Structure

The third major change in thinking about poverty has been the deepening of the causal structure. This represents a shift in analytical focus from stocks of poverty to flows of individuals or households into and out of poverty. Section 4.1 explains this distinction between stocks and flows of poverty. Section 4.2 discusses conceptual issues raised by 'deepening' the causal framework and explains the links between vulnerability and strategies of risk reduction or mitigation. Section 4.4 presents recent empirical findings on the relative magnitude and correlates of chronic and transitory poverty with a view to determine whether the distinction between stocks and flows matters for policy.

Section 4.1 Stocks vs. Flows of Poverty

Figure 4 schematically depicts analytical differences between analyses of stocks and flows of poverty as well as the related distinction between chronic and transitory poverty. Two points are particularly important.

First, until very recently with the increasing availability of panel data, almost all analyses of poverty dynamics didn't explicitly distinguish between chronic and transitory poverty. In terms of analysis, the approach was to analyse correlates or determinants of the entire 'poor' circle depicted in Figure 4 (which including transitory and chronic poverty) at one or more points in time. This is the standard 'comparative static' analysis of stocks of poverty. Implicitly, this approach entailed a focus on causes and remedies for chronic poverty.

Second, analysis of flows tracks the same households over time. It allows one to determine if households: 1) stay poor; 2) escape from poverty; 3) enter into poverty or 4) stay non-poor. For policy purposes, it is crucial to determine whether the poverty problem stems from households who stay poor over time (chronic poverty) or whether it is due to large inflows of households into poverty (transitory poverty) who later escape. As discussed below, policies to deal with these two scenarios may be very different.
Section 4.2 Vulnerability and Strategies of Risk Reduction

As discussed in Section 3, the broadening of the causal structure over the past decade has led to the incorporation of different forms of capital within the context of a 'stock-centered' analysis of the dynamics of chronic poverty. Analysis focuses on those forces which increase, reduce or perpetuate the stock of poverty over the long term. Specifically, the underinvestment in, or perverse functioning of, different forms of capital is considered the root cause of poverty and the primary barrier to its reduction. The main operational objective is to devise long-term poverty reduction strategies which address these 'root' causes of chronic poverty.

The deepening of the causal structure shifts focus to transitory poverty and flows of individuals into and out of poverty. Unlike chronic poverty, the focal point is vulnerability or the likelihood
of falling into poverty (however defined). Alternatively, this may be phrased as 'downside risk.' Vulnerability is a function of two main variables: exposure and response to downward pressures (Sinha and Lipton 1999). Downward pressure are sometimes referred to as stresses and shocks, the former gradual and cumulative and latter sudden and unpredictable (Chambers and Conway 1992). There are six types of downward pressures which are particularly relevant for the present purposes: illness; violence/conflict; natural disaster; harvest failure; terms of trade deterioration; loss of employment.

Exposure to downward pressure is a function of the size, frequency, earliness and bunching of the particular pressures in question, as well as one’s spatial proximity to them.

Responses to downward pressures are a function of the interaction between the various forms of capital with which people and communities are endowed. A wide range of strategies may be employed to reduce vulnerability, or downside risk, due to the six types of downward pressure. It is useful to distinguish between strategies of income smoothing and consumption smoothing (Morduch 1995). The distinction is based on whether the particular strategies contribute to reducing variability in income or consumption, though, as discussed below, these functions are closely linked.

A shortlist of income smoothing strategies would include:

- Adopting variability-reducing production techniques and input choices in agriculture (economic capital);
- Diversifying income-generating activities (economic capital);
- Migrating (economic capital);
- Increasing labour force participation of children at the (potential) expense of schooling (economic and human capital);
- Choosing large families for farm labour or for income generation (economic and human capital);
- Adopting contractual arrangements, such as sharecropping, which trade off profits for insurance (economic and social capital);
- Accepting/offering labour assistance during the cropping season or borrowing/lending land free of charge (economic and social capital).

A shortlist of consumption smoothing strategies would include:

- Accumulating and selling assets such as livestock, land, etc. (economic capital);
- Accumulating and drawing on savings or stocks of grain (economic capital);
- Drawing on remittances from migrants (economic capital);
- Raising fertility levels for old age security (economic and human capital);
- Accessing formal or informal credit, including rotating savings and credit associations (ROSCAs), for consumption purposes (economic and social capital);

---

37 See Section 2.
38 Sinha and Lipton (1999) maintain that these six account for approximately ninety percent of downward fluctuations in poor people’s income and consumption.
39 Sometimes these are referred to as ex ante and ex post strategies respectively but this is misleading as either may be undertaken prior to, or following, the onset of downward pressures.
• Accumulating and drawing on the body as a store of food (human capital);
• Withdrawing children from school to limit expenses (human capital);
• Drawing on informal safety nets or insurance mechanisms such as village patrons, community networks, reciprocal gift giving, private transfers of cash or food (social and political capital).

Operational strategies to address transitory poverty involve measures to reduce or mitigate downside risk or vulnerability. Examples include insurance schemes, buffer stocks, credit, emergency relief, safety nets, famine early warning systems, etc. and other income or consumption smoothing mechanisms which complement the ones listed above.

Figure 4 below provides a schematic depiction of the main issues discussed in this Section.

\[\text{\footnotesize 42 See Holtzmann and Jorgensen (1998), World Bank (2001).}\]
Figure 4 Vulnerability and Strategies of Risk Reduction
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Section 4.3 An Example: The 'Sustainable Livelihoods' Approach to Poverty

The Sustainable Livelihoods Approach is one operational approach to poverty reduction[43] which makes explicit use of an flows-based analysis of poverty using language very similar to that which appears in the preceding Section. The approach situates poverty reduction within the framework of security of livelihoods, or sustainable livelihoods[44]. Chambers and Conway (1992) provide a widely accepted definition of what is meant by 'sustainable livelihoods':

A livelihood comprises the capabilities, assets (including both material and social resources) and activities required for a means of living. A livelihood is sustainable when it can cope with and recover from stresses and shocks, maintain or enhance its capabilities and assets, while not undermining the natural resources base.

The ‘livelihood’ aspect draws on an analysis of assets which parallels the above analysis of capital, with emphasis placed on natural capital. Livelihoods depend on four categories of assets:

- **Stores**: tangible assets including food stocks, gold, jewellery, savings (economic capital);
- **Resources**: tangible assets including land, water, trees, livestock (environmental capital);
- **Claims**: intangible assets consisting of legitimate social demands or appeals for material, moral or other support (cultural and social capital);
- **Access**: intangible asset referring to the capability to use a resource, store or service for one’s benefit (political and coercive capital).

The ‘sustainability’ aspect adds a temporal dimension by examining the exposure of livelihoods to particular shocks and stresses as well as their ability to cope and adapt. As such, it explicitly incorporates the concept of vulnerability and bases its analysis on flows into and out of poverty rather than changes in the stock of poverty.

Section 4.4 Does Deepening Matter?

There are at least three reasons why the shift in emphasis from stocks to flows matters.

First, recent empirical evidence suggests that there is considerable mobility among the poor. Table 2 from Baulch and Hoddinott (2000) presents data on the relative magnitude of chronic and transitory poverty for 12 recent panel studies. Chronic poverty is defined as those who are poor in all years in the panel, while transitory poverty consists of the 'sometimes' poor. Two points are worth noting. First, transitory poverty is quite large and often much larger than chronic poverty. Second, the longer the panel, typically, the greater the relative size of transitory poverty vis a vis chronic poverty.

---

[43] It has been explicitly adopted by the UK’s Dept of International Development as their analytical framework of choice to guide their poverty work (DFID, 2000).
Second, some of the characteristics of transitory and chronic poverty may differ which implies that differ groups are transitorily and chronically poor (and suggests that different interventions are appropriate to each). Two recent studies have specifically addressed this issue. McCulloch and Baulch (2000) found characteristics of transitory and chronic poverty in Pakistan to be broadly similar excepting dependency ratios which were higher in chronic but not transitoriily poor households. On the other hand, Jalan and Ravallion (1998) found differences in characteristics of transitory and chronic poverty in China. Variables which are often associated with chronic poverty, including household size, health and education have no apparent bearing on transitory poverty.

Third, in light of the above, it is likely that some interventions to address chronic and transitory poverty will differ. Instead of the intervention types discussed in section 3 which rely on investing in various forms of capital, schemes of risk reduction or mitigation which smooth income or consumption may be more appropriate. A short list of such publicly provided mechanisms may include, insurance schemes, buffer stocks, credit (for smoothing purposes), seasonal public works, etc.

There two main caveats to be borne in mind, however, with respect to the above points. First, some of the observed results are driven by measurement error, which poses large problems for panel data estimation. One estimate found measurement error to be responsible for around three quarters of the total variation in income, yet still found transitory poverty to be quantitatively important and larger than chronic poverty for some poverty indices (McCulloch and Baulch 2000). Second, some of the movement into and out of poverty may not represent large shifts in income but simply small movements around the poverty line.

45 Though it should be noted that both studies use a different definition of chronic and transitory poverty than the one used in this paper.
Section 4.5 Globalisation and the Deepened Causal Framework

There are at least four ways in which forces of globalisation relate closely to the deepened causal framework of poverty.

First, increasing flows of financial capital and in particular portfolio investment, increases the risk of financial instability occasioned by massive capital flight and currency crises. At least part of the reason for the severity of the East Asian financial crisis was the rapid flight of portfolio flows, or 'hot' money, from this region.

Second, the increasing mobility of people generally, and labour specifically, increases the risk of spread of infectious disease such as HIV/AIDs and tuberculosis.

Third, the increasing reliance on trade increases one's vulnerability to terms of trade shocks. Rising global production of goods and/or reduced consumption may severely squeeze world prices to the detriment of local producers.

Fourth, increasing transfers of technology increase risk (both downside and upside) if the long-term effects of technological change are unknown. Genetically modified crops provide one example. The may prove to be boon or bust though either way, they increase risk.

---

46 It should be noted that these tend to be highly concentrated in a relatively small number of developing countries.
Section 5 Conclusion

There have been at least three main changes in thinking about poverty which have gained increasing currency over the past decade, with decided policy implications.

First, the concept of poverty has been broadened. This is reflected in the move from a physiological model of deprivation to a social one, and subsequently, in the increasing attention afforded issues of vulnerability, inequality and human rights.

Second, the causal structure has been broadened to include a range of causal variables which previously received little attention. This has lead to the increasing importance afforded political, social, cultural and coercive capital which figure centrally in the governance approach to poverty. The operational consequence is to shift attention from interventions in human and economic capital to interventions focusing on empowerment, social organisation, legal reform, human rights, etc. Three dimensions of globalisation serve to accentuate the importance of the expanded causal framework to poverty reduction: 1) the spread of democracy and human rights; 2) the spread of 'Western' culture and the resultant 'cultural' critique; 3) the globalisation of conflict and implications for poverty.

Third, the causal structure has been deepened to focus on flows of individuals into and out of poverty, rather than on changes in the stock of poverty. This has led to a focus on transitory rather than chronic poverty and on shocks, stresses and individual/community response. The operational consequence is to shift attention from long-term strategies to reduce chronic poverty, to strategies of risk reduction/mitigation which 'smooth' income or consumption. Examples include, insurance schemes, buffer stocks, credit (for smoothing purposes), seasonal public works, etc. Four dimensions of globalisation serve to accentuate the importance of the deepened causal framework to risk reduction/mitigation: 1) the increase in financial flows and the Asian crisis; 2) increasing labour mobility and infectious disease; 3) increasing trade and terms of trade shocks; 4) growing technological transfer with unknown consequences.


Appendix A  Cross-Cutting Themes

Section 3 examined how the broadening of the causal framework entailed the introduction of a wider range of 'forms of capital'. Examples included social, political, cultural capital, etc. It may be relevant to consider how other important themes which have received renewed attention over the past decade have figured in the analysis of poverty. The following sections situates the dual themes of gender and participation within the context of the schema presented in Figure 3.

Section B.1 Gender

Gender is a theme which crosscuts the analyses presented in Section 3. There are at least four ways in which gender bears on issues discussed:

1. Conceptions of deprivation may be gendered in so far as men and women are differentially afflicted by different forms of deprivation. Females may not face greater consumption poverty than males but they may be 'worse-off' if other aspects of deprivation are taken into account. The discussion in Box 9 below provides an example.

2. Men and women may stand in different relationships to different forms of capital. Thus, women may be restricted from ownership or inheritance of land (economic capital), they may be assigned inferior status which is internalised (cultural capital) or, in a more positive light, they may be better organised (social capital).

3. In light of point #2, changes in forms of capital may have differential effects on men and women. Thus, the effects of increased credit provision, higher producer prices will depend on the nature of gendered social relationships including the gender division of labour, the gender allocation of goods within household, the gendered distribution of decision-making authority, etc.

4. In light of the first three points, policy interventions are likely to differ across gender lines. If conceptions of poverty and processes of social change are gendered so too will be poverty interventions.

Box 9 - Gendered Conceptions of Deprivation in the Republic of Guinea

Are women worse-off than men if deprivation extends beyond consumption poverty? Shaffer (1998b) addressed this question drawing on household survey and participatory poverty assessment data from the Republic of Guinea. National household survey data reveal that women are not more likely than men to be consumption poor or to suffer greater consumption poverty. This result holds after analysing poverty incidence, intensity and severity of female-headed households, the representation of women and females in poor households and the intrahousehold distribution of food and health care (proxied by data on nutritional outcomes, mortality and the aggregate female-male ratio). Sensitivity analysis using different adult equivalence scales and different poverty lines (stochastic dominance tests) affirms the result. Participatory Poverty Assessment data in the village of Kamatiguia reveal that women are ‘worse off’ than men when deprivation includes, inter alia, excessive work load and reduced decision-making authority. In the well-being ranking exercises, groups of both men and women separately ranked all but two
married village women below all married village men in terms of their own criteria of well-being and deprivation.

Section B.2 Participation
'Participation' is another cross-cutting theme which appears in at least four ways in the preceding analysis.

1. Determination of the relevant conception of deprivation may be participatory if it involves substantive and active input from those who stand to be affected by the definition. Of the approaches discussed in Section 2, only Participatory Poverty Assessments are participatory in this sense.47

2. Different types of social capital may have intrinsically participatory elements. Thus, a constituent element of social capital is the participation of individuals in groups or associations to pursue collective ends.

3. Participation may figure intrinsically in different approaches to poverty reduction. For example, empowerment is a process of effecting social participation.

Participation may figure in differing degrees in all poverty interventions depending on the extent that they involve popular input in their conceptualisation, design, implementation, monitoring and follow-up.

47 There is a tradition within the income/consumption approach of asking respondents what constitutes adequate consumption, which is subsequently used in the specification of the poverty line (Hagenaars 1986, Pradhan and Ravallion 1998). This is only participatory in a very limited sense, in that the underlying conception of deprivation, non-fulfilment of basic preferences, is predetermined. The participatory import only relates to the basket of basic preference goods in question. Further, it only involves responses to questionnaires and not active engagement in dialogue.