



Record of the Proceedings

26 April 2006

Chair: Richard Manning & Grace Bediako

Introductory Statement

1. Mr. Jan-Robert Suesser from ADETEF welcomed participants on behalf of the French Ministry of Finance. The chair emphasised that today's discussions would be very important as the international community is at an important strategic moment. Good evidence of results on the ground are needed in order to show development results, in particular leading up to the Third International Roundtable on Managing for Development Results, to be held in Vietnam in February 2007.

Adoption of the Agenda

2. The agenda was adopted without modification.

Progress Report

3. The PARIS21 Secretariat Manager presented the PARIS21 progress report for 2005 and early 2006, including: progress toward the National Strategy for the Development of Statistics (NSDS) goal worldwide and in Sub-Saharan Africa; regional programmes and the shortage of good consultants to assist countries in strategic planning and implementation; developing NSDS methodology; task teams; advocacy; the light reporting mechanism (LRM); the integration of the two new satellite programmes into the Secretariat work programme; Metagora; and the light evaluation.

4. He highlighted specific contributions PARIS21 has made in three areas:

- NSDS: what we've learned over the past two years.
- Advocacy: within the four main constituencies (i.e., statisticians and policymakers in developing countries and donor institutions), PARIS21 needs to reach out more to policymakers.
- Partnership: real progress has been made to improve collaboration and co-ordination. The Forum on African Statistical Development (FASDEV) was cited as a prime example.

5. He closed by commenting that the PARIS21 approach — defined by the Steering Committee and supported by its network of partners — has proven very useful in building statistical capacity throughout the developing world.

Discussion

6. The chair echoed the need for technical assistance and reported on a meeting held in the margins of the World Bank and IMF annual meetings the previous week. Participants at that Washington meeting discussed a twinning initiative proposed by the Canadian International Development Agency and Statistics Canada aimed to supply technical assistance in statistics to developing countries. Further discussion on this



topic will be held at the OECD Statistics Committee and Conference of European Statisticians (CES) meetings in Paris this June.

7. The chair then opened the floor to discussion. Many participants supported the suggestion to reach out more to the policymaker side of the four constituencies, including inviting them to become members of the Steering Committee. The OECD representative commented that engaging policymakers will be particularly important in the lead-up to the Vietnam Roundtable. He suggested identifying an event or events to prepare the groundwork with policymakers and to define concrete actions to deliver and measure results. The Dutch representative suggested establishing linkages with the OECD Working Party and Joint Venture on Aid Effectiveness to achieve this. The UNFPA representative pointed out that one of the reasons why the statistical community has had difficulty connecting with policymakers is because statisticians have not learned to speak the language of policymakers. This has resulted in statistics not being viewed as a concrete product. The Millennium Development Goal (MDG) agenda provides a good framework for reaching out to policymakers and, as the World Bank representative said, translating advocacy into action. The Francophone Africa representative urged more efforts in advocacy for his region particularly for the integration of statistical development into poverty reduction strategy processes, and the French representative commented that donors are equally responsible for advocating for aid to statistical development. The UNFPA remarked that follow-up to advocacy is as important as advocacy itself.

8. The Middle East representative called for greater emphasis on filling the gaps in PARIS21's work, such as in providing methodological guidance. The International Monetary Fund (IMF) representative advised the task team on Training for Official Statisticians to tease out the major shortfalls and issues arising from its inventory of statistical institutes, as it would be a useful input to the CES meeting in June. Regarding the knowledge base, he recommended that it build, in particular, on the recent work sponsored by the UN Statistics Division (UNSD) on issues associated with running statistical systems.

9. The United Kingdom (UK) representative called for a distinction to be made between the work of Secretariat and the work of partnership. The progress report highlights more the work of the former. The World Bank evoked the need to link outputs to outcomes in the report. The Chair closed the session by commenting that the Committee was thankful for the report and progress of the partnership and impressed with the amount of work that has been done.

Results from the Light Evaluation

10. The Eurostat representative presented the Review Sub-Group chairman's summary of the light evaluation results, first outlining the background to the evaluation process and the methodology used. Conclusions drawn from the exercise include the successfulness of the NSDS approach, the appropriateness of PARIS21 activities and work programme to the PARIS21 purpose, the high adaptability of the partnership to the focus on NSDS, and the relevance and effectiveness of PARIS21. Recommendations include:

- Assigning a high priority to NSDS and making it more visible in PARIS21 objectives, expanding the partnership's mandate to better facilitate NSDS development;
- Ensuring that advocacy work be prioritised as an essential element in NSDS;
- Expanding PARIS21's co-ordination role to improve conditions for better co-operation between the Secretariat and involved partners;
- Forming a small drafting group – focussed around the founding fathers – to draft a new version of the mandate for the future and provide advice on eventual changes in the institutional setting of the Secretariat.



11. The independent evaluator/facilitator commented that the ‘light’ evaluation approach was unique in his career as an evaluator. This evaluation was really a rapid assessment, as it does not fit with the known categories in the evaluation field. In light of the very short timeframe for the evaluation, only outputs could be considered. The timeframe did not allow for a deep analysis of, for example, the inclusion of statistical development in national development policies. He pointed out that he made specific recommendations for a possible full-fledged evaluation in the future (pages 36–37 of the evaluation report — document# *P21-SCI-06-EVAL*).

Discussion

12. The African Development Bank (AfDB) representative requested that some changes in the report be made on the assessment offered by his institution. He did not want the report to give the inaccurate impression that the AfDB has negative views towards PARIS21. Instead, he asked that the report reflect AfDB’s support for PARIS21 work and objectives; in particular, the co-ordination of work with PARIS21 (e.g., FASDEV) should be emphasised as a very positive activity. He commented that the confusion between attribution and contribution, and the way in which evaluation questions were formed, may be the cause of the inaccurate depiction of the AfDB’s views. The OECD and IMF representatives and the chair built on the issue of attribution vs. contribution, commenting that it echoes the previous session’s discussion on the work of the Secretariat vs. that of the wider partnership. The OECD representative recommended making use of the OECD Statistics Committee to improve the dialogue with other partners.

13. The Andean Community / Central American representative expressed his regret that there were not more comments from Central American stakeholders in the evaluation as those countries are very advanced in the NSDS process. The involvement of the countries of this sub-region will however be important in forming the partnership’s future. The Francophone Africa representative picked up on this idea, requesting that beneficiary countries be included in the proposed drafting group, which he felt should review co-ordination procedures and look closely at important upcoming events when drafting the new mandate. The World Bank cautioned about establishing this drafting group too soon, since the work programme for the next several months is already in place. She recommended postponing the work of the drafting group until after the Vietnam Roundtable, unless the goal is merely to draft a vision statement, which could be done rather quickly.

14. The chair concluded that Steering Committee members view PARIS21’s work as having been quite successful and adaptive to evolving needs. Members identified providing assistance to strategy implementation as a key area of future work for PARIS21. He further concluded that additional comments would not be solicited on the evaluation, as the process would no longer be light. Instead, the AfDB was asked to revise its comments and forward them to the evaluator/facilitator for verification, then the Review Sub-Group would finalise the report and have it placed on the website in the spirit of transparency.

Future of PARIS21

15. In presenting his recommendations for the future of PARIS21, the Eurostat representative (as chairman of the Review Sub-Group) proposed that the work on strategy implementation focus on improved stakeholder co-ordination to fill the gaps between the design and implementation stages. The Secretariat Manager commented that the overall PARIS21 objective remains the same but that the partnership needs to improve the way it works. He put forward several lines of key work for the future, including an advocacy action plan, the mainstreaming of statistical development into partner programmes, the establishment of an advisory board to oversee NSDS methodology development, peer reviews, follow-up to the light reporting mechanism, and a new task team on statistical development proposed by the World Bank. He also suggested



reviewing the governance arrangements of the Steering Committee to include more policymakers and bolstering the skills and capacities of the Secretariat, perhaps by seconding staff or establishing PARIS21 focal points within institutions.

16. At the request of the chair, the Secretariat Manager elaborated on the satellite programmes. The International Household Survey Network (IHSN) is a partnership of international organisations applied to the field of household surveys. The IHSN could be a model in partnership building for other fields in the future. The Accelerated Data Programme (ADP) will make existing data available in pilot countries to address short-term needs.

17. The Metagora project has delivered the results it was expected to deliver in its first two years and has been considered successful. The project is currently in a consolidation phase and before the end of the year will propose a second phase of work. This proposal will need the endorsement of the PARIS21 Steering Committee, and the OECD Development Assistance Committee (DAC) should provide advice on the goals. A draft report of results has been produced, and Steering Committee members' advice and comments are invited.

Discussion

18. Discussions revolved around a number of key areas of work for the partnership beyond 2006, including advocacy, support to strategy implementation, country-level co-ordination of national activities and donor aid, technical assistance, and engaging the partnership.

19. In addition to its niche role in advocacy, supporting NSDS implementation was widely viewed as being crucial for PARIS21. The Central American representative commented that the countries of his sub-region need the accompaniment of the PARIS21 partnership during implementation in order to add credibility to the changes proposed in their NSDSs because they are very vulnerable to political changes. To facilitate this support to implementation, several members recommended that PARIS21 help co-ordinate national and donor activities at the country level, including monitoring efforts through the NSDS progress report and light reporting mechanism. The Dutch representative recommended working closely with the Joint Venture on Managing for Development Results to determine what concrete steps can be proposed at the Vietnam Roundtable. PARIS21 could help propose a Hanoi Implementation Plan for Statistics to follow-up on the Marrakech Action Plan for Statistics ("from MAPS to HIPS").

20. On the issue of technical assistance, the Canadian and Norwegian representatives recommended that the Secretariat study the modes of delivering this assistance (including twinning arrangements) to take stock of lessons learned and best practices. In addition to the mobilisation of technical assistance, the direct provision of technical advice sparked debate. While the Dutch representative argued in favour of the Secretariat providing methodological support and exchange of experiences through the knowledge base, the UNSD representative cautioned against getting involved in technical issues, preferring instead to leave such work to institutions better placed to do so. The Secretariat Manager clarified that the spirit of the knowledge base and the NSDS methodology programme is to build on the expertise of the Partnership and gather knowledge from all partners.

21. In order to carry out the key areas of work, Steering Committee members underlined the importance of engaging the partnership and more clearly defining the roles and responsibilities of the Secretariat relative to the Steering Committee and other partners. The IMF representative recommended translating paragraph 8 of the Secretariat's paper on the "Future of PARIS21" (document# *P21-SC1-06-BYD*) into a matrix outlining how outputs contribute to outcomes and what sort of activities are needed to achieve this. This would enable the partnership to identify who is best placed to help in achieving what needs to be done. For future Steering



Committee meetings, there could be more documentation from the partnership showing how they are helping achieve PARIS21 outcomes, since it is the partnership (not the Secretariat) that is responsible for achieving them. The OECD representative added that the work of the Inter-Agency Expert Group on the MDGs and GDDS/SDDS subscription represent good outcome indicators for PARIS21.

22. The chair concluded the session by pointing out that there was no serious dispute over outcomes; however, there was a high degree but not complete level of agreement on the way forward. The decision to embark on NSDS fits with advocacy, but there was a unanimous consensus that advocacy remains a key niche and must not be lost. There is an interest in a monitoring role for the Secretariat, as it was put forth that PARIS21's job is less about doing things than it is about making them happen. Regarding NSDS implementation, the partnership needs to take the lead on this, supported by the Secretariat, although it is at present unclear how to do this. Regarding the technical support role of the Secretariat and its inclusion of additional activities such as the satellite programmes, the Committee is cautious. If new proposals emerge, they should be vetted through the Committee with enough time to review them. The chair requested that the Secretariat sharpen the proposals in paragraphs 8 and 9 of the "Future of PARIS21" paper in light of the session's comments and draw up activities of the Secretariat relative to those of the partnership. There was not a consensus on the need for a drafting group but the PARIS21 Secretariat was asked to draw up proposals for a renewed mandate and work programme after 2006 and to share them with Steering Committee members well before their next meeting due towards the end of 2006. The EU (Eurostat) and UK (DFID) volunteered to help the Secretariat with this process.

Overview of 2006 Work Programme (including Satellite Programmes)

23. The Secretariat Manager presented the PARIS21 work programme until the end of 2006, which includes:

- *NSDS* – regional workshops, peer reviews, integration of statistical development into PRSP through GDDS, production of several new NSDS methodology papers and NSDS Sample Paper, production of a comprehensive worldwide report on NSDS progress, roll out of NSDS essentials check list.
- *Task teams* – developing a database of country volumes of costs for statistical activities; guide to developing a human resource development strategy and training needs assessment; finalising agricultural statistics advocacy video and drafting a guide to integrating agricultural statistics into NSDS; draft proposal for guide to integrating sectoral statistics into NSDS; and new proposed task team on knowledge for statistical development.
- *Advocacy* – action plan to identify audiences and develop messages; preparation of new advocacy materials; working with PARIS21 partners to deliver advocacy; and preparations for Consortium meeting after the Vietnam Roundtable in late 2007/2008.
- *Partnership* – complete LRM companion document; verify LRM results in selected countries; clarify differences between LRM and OECD's Creditor Reporting System (CRS) for selected partners; review LRM content; organise forum of key donors; discuss statistical capacity building issues and donor collaboration at Committee for the Coordination of Statistical Activities (CCSA); launch LRM in another region; and design/monitor web-based database.
- *Satellite programmes* – ADP project in Niger which includes inventory and dissemination of existing data; analysis of existing data; assess past and on-going survey programme; review and improve survey methods; and design and implement improved medium-term survey programme (within NSDS).
- *Management* – Finalisation of light evaluation; development of proposed work programme for the future of the Partnership.



24. The Secretariat Manager then presented the list of decisions to be taken by the Steering Committee.

Discussion

25. Regarding the LRM, Committee members were enthusiastic and favourable to its continuation although reactions were mixed as to whether it should be implemented on a yearly basis. The report was viewed by all as flagging serious issues in co-ordination and duplication and is therefore a valuable management tool and a means to continue discussions with partners. The Eurostat representative asked if the mechanism could look forward as well as backward. The French representative recommended verifying the LRM methodology to ensure that the mechanism is reviewing comparable data. The Dutch representative suggested the LRM results should be incorporated into the OECD's CRS. Results of the discussions on the LRM at this year's CCSA should be reported back to the next Steering Committee meeting.

26. Regarding the methodology work related to NSDS development, the World Bank and Eurostat supported the idea of establishing a task team in place of the proposed advisory board. Several members commented on the need to build on existing knowledge and provide actual help in addition to advice. The World Bank recommended that a new proposal for the knowledge base task team be developed for review via email by the Steering Committee.

27. Regarding the issue of Consortium meetings, the World Bank pointed out that previous meetings were cancelled due to financial limitations, but these constraints no longer exist. She said that a concept note on what to expect from a Consortium meeting would be useful. The OECD remarked that the partnership is already meeting quite well through PARIS21 regional events (e.g., workshops, FASDEV) and supported the Netherlands' suggestion of holding a preparatory meeting (of the Steering Committee and policymakers) in the lead-up to Vietnam. Such an event could help PARIS21 decide if a Consortium meeting is necessary.

28. Concern was expressed over the suggestion that PARIS21 be recognised as a separate entity at UNSC meetings. While the World Bank supported PARIS21 being defined before the Commission since it delivers papers and receives recommendations, Eurostat and the UK expressed reservations. The chair clarified that PARIS21 would speak on behalf of the Steering Committee rather than the Committee's individual institutions. Nevertheless, the UNSD specified that this issue is entirely dependent on PARIS21's legal status. He said that if PARIS21 remains a part of the OECD, then it will have to remain as an OECD representative at the UNSC.

List of Decisions

29. The chair provided a summary of the decisions taken by the Steering Committee, reproduced in the table below.



#	Decision
1	<p>Considering the results of the light evaluation process and the PARIS21 Secretariat's proposed way forward, what is the Committee's opinion regarding the continuation and future orientation and governance of PARIS21 beyond 2006?</p> <p>The evaluation was approved subject to the AfDB revisions. PARIS21 will continue beyond 2006. The Secretariat will help propose new directions to be reviewed at the next Steering Committee.</p>
2	<p>Does the Committee agree that the Light Reporting Mechanism should be continued and extended?</p> <p>It was agreed that the LRM would move ahead, taking account of comments made.</p>
3	<p>Does the Committee agree (a) that priority should be given to development of a more comprehensive version of the Preliminary Worldwide NSDS Progress Report before the end of 2006; and (b) to the proposal to roll out the NSDS Essentials check list through self-assessment by countries and/or as part of peer reviews?</p> <p>It was agreed that (a) the end of 2006 is not a critical date for the production of the Worldwide NSDS Progress Report and (b) there were no objections to rolling out the NSDS Essentials as proposed.</p>
4	<p>Does the Committee (a) support a formalisation of the methodology work related to NSDS development including the establishment of an Advisory Board to identify topics, supervise the production of papers and other materials, and review the quality of outputs; and (b) agree to the establishment of a new task team on <i>Knowledge for Statistical Development</i> to expand the content of the Knowledge Base?</p> <p>There was a difference of opinion in the proposed NSDS methodology work and establishment of an advisory board. Committee members emphasised the need to build on existing work. The Committee accepted the World Bank's offer of redefining the proposal for the task team on <i>Knowledge for Statistical Development</i>.</p>
5	<p>Does the Committee (a) endorse the advocacy strategy and action plan and (b) agree that the next Consortium meeting should be held in late 2007 or 2008 in light of the Third Roundtable on Managing for Development Results being held in early 2007?</p> <p>It was agreed that Committee members would send comments on the advocacy strategy and action plan to the Secretariat by the end of May 2006, at which time a formal decision would be taken. Regarding the Consortium, no final decision was reached. The Secretariat is asked to draft a budgeted concept note for review at the next Steering Committee meeting.</p>
6	<p>Does the Committee recommend that PARIS21 be recognised by the UN Statistical Commission as a separate entity and therefore have its own seat in UNSC meetings?</p> <p>This proposal is not yet endorsed.</p>
7	<p>Does the Committee agree that the task team on Costing and Funding of NSDSs should be closed and its work mainstreamed into the Secretariat work programme?</p> <p>This proposal was agreed.</p>



Annex I: List of Participants

Co-chairs

Mr. Richard Manning
DAC Chairman
OECD
2, rue André Pascal
75016 Paris
France

Tel: + 33 1 45 24 90 70
Fax: + 33 1 44 30 61 41
e-mail: Richard.manning@oecd.org

Dr. Grace Bediako
Government Statistician
Ghana Statistical Service
Accra,
Ghana

Tel: + 233 21 66 35 78
Fax: + 233 21 66 43 04
E-mail: gbediako@hotmail.com

Partner Countries

Africa Francophone

Mr. Martin Balepa
Director General
Afristat
B.P. E 1600
Bamako
Mali

Tel: + 223 221 55 00
Fax: + 223 221 11 40
e-mail: martin.balepa@afristat.org

East Africa & Horn

Ms. Samia Zekaria Gutu
Central Statistical Authority
Addis Ababa
Ethiopia

e-mail: samiaz@ethionet.et

Middle East

Mr. Hussein Shakhathreh
Former Director General
Department of Statistics,
Jordan
P.O. Box 2015
11181 Amman
Jordan

Tel: + 962.777.277.977
Fax: + 962.6551.855
e-mail: hshakhathreh@wanadoo.jo

Northern Africa

Mr. Ridha Ferchiou
President
National Council of Statistics
17 rue de Tolède El Manar I
2092 Tunis
Tunisia

Tel: + 216 71 887 271
Fax: + 216 71 886 499
e-mail: president.CNS@Email.ati.tn

Andean Community/Central America

Mr. Jacobo Rey Sigfrido Lee
Leiva
Gerente
Instituto Nacional de
Estadística, INE
8a calle 9-55 zona 1
Guatemala, ciudad

Tel: + 502 22 38 2587
Fax: + 502 22 32 4790
e-mail: jlee@ine.gob.gt



South East Asia

Dr. Romulo Virola
Secretary General
Profession: Statistician
National Statistical
Coordination Board, NSCB
2/F Midland Buendia Building
403 Sen. Gil. Puyat Ave.
1200 Makati City
Philippines

Tel: + 632 895 2395
Fax: + 632 896 1778
e-mail: ra.virola@nscb.gov.ph

Southern Africa

Ms. Miranda Mafafo
STAT SA
De Bruyn Park Building
170 Andries Street
Pretoria, South Africa
0001

e-mail: MirandaM@statssa.gov.za

Bilateral Partners

France

Mr. Jean-François Divay
Chargé de mission
Ministry of Foreign Affairs,
DGCID, DCT/H
20, rue Monsieur
75700 Paris 07 SP
France

Tel: + 33 1 53 69 41 97
Fax: + 33 1 53 69 43 97
e-mail: jean-francois.divay@diplomatie.gouv.fr

Mr. Alain Tranap
Statistician, Dept of
International Relations and
Cooperation
INSEE
18 boulevard Adolphe Pinard
75675 PARIS
France

Tel: + 33 1 41 17 52 92
Fax: + 33 1 41 17 66 44
e-mail: alain.tranap@insee.fr

Mr. Jan-Robert Suesser
Director, ADETEF
Ministère de l'Economie des
Finances et de l'Industrie
5, place des vins de France
75573 Paris Cedex 12
France

Tel: + 33 1 53 44 25 36
Fax: + 33 1 53 44 25 31
e-mail: jan-robert.suesser@adef.finances.gouv.fr

Germany

Mr. Josef Füllenbach
Permanent mission of
Germany to the OECD

Tel: +33 1 55 74 57 06
e-mail: wi-7-oecd@pari.auswaertiges-amt.de

Mr. Henning Twesten
Economist - Economic Policy
Unit
Economic Development &
Employment Division
GTZ
Germany

Tel: + 49 6196 79 6455
Fax: + 49 6196 79 806455
e-mail: Henning.Twesten@gtz.de



Switzerland

Mr. Paul Obrist
Direction du développement et
de la coopération (DDC)

Tel: + 41 26 481 2062
e-mail: paobrist@bluewin.ch

Mr. M. Werner Haug
Vice-directeur Office fédéral
de la statistique (OFS)

Tel: + 41 31 322 8685
e-mail: Werner.HAUG@bfs.admin.ch

Mr. Jean-Francois Fracheboud
Chef de la section Structure
des entreprises et emploi
Office Fédéral de la
Statistique
Espace de l'Europe 10
Neuchâtel
Switzerland

Tel: + 41 32 713 67 34
e-mail:
jean-francois.fracheboud@bfs.admin.ch

Netherlands

Mr. Fritz Meijndert
Deputy Director and Head of
Division
Reporting and Monitoring
Effectiveness and Quality
Department
Ministry of Foreign Affairs
The Netherlands

Tel: + 31 70 348 4753
e-mail: fritz.meijndert@minbuza.nl

United Kingdom

Ms. Siobhan Carey
Chief Statistician
International Division Advisory
Dept
Department for International
Development (DFID)
1 Palace Street
SW1E 5HE London
United Kingdom

Tel: +44 207 023 0396
Fax: +44 207 023 0636
e-mail: s-carey@dfid.gov.uk

Regional Banks

AFDB

Mr. Charles Lufumpa
Senior Manager
African Development Bank
BP 323, 1002 Tunis
Belvedere,
Tunis
Tunisia

Tel: + 216 7110 2175
Fax: + 216 718 34436
e-mail: c.lufumpa@afdb.org

IADB

Mr. Manuel Fresnilo
66 Avenue d'Iena
75116 Paris France

Tel: + 33 1 40 69 31 09
Fax: + 33 1 40 69 31 20
e-mail: manuelf@contractuel.iadb.org



Multilateral Partners

EU - Eurostat

Mr. Pieter Everaers
Director
EUROSTAT
5 rue Alphonse Weicher
L-2721 Luxembourg

Tel: + 352 4301 36847
Fax : + 352 4301 37316
e-mail: pieter.everaers@cec.eu.int

Mr. James Whitworth
EUROSTAT
5 rue Alphonse Weicher
L-2721 Luxembourg

Tel: + 352 4301
Fax : + 352 4301 37316
e-mail: james.whitworth@cec.eu.int

IMF

Mr. Rob Edwards
Director
Statistics Department
International Monetary Fund
19th and H Street, N.W.
20431 Washington D.C.
United States

Tel: +1 202 623 8640
Fax: +1 202 623 6460
e-mail: redwards@imf.org

OECD

Mr. Brian Hammond
Head of Division, DCD/STAT
OECD
2, rue André Pascal
75016 Paris
France

Tel: + 33 1 45 24 90 34
Fax: + 33 1 44 30 61 46
e-mail: Brian.hammond@oecd.org

UNSD

Mr. Paul Cheung
Director
United Nations Statistics
Division
2 UN Plaza, Room DC2-1670,
New York, NY, 10017, USA

Tel: + 1 212 963 2563
e-mail: Paul.cheung@un.org

UNFPA

Mr. François FARAH
Chief, Population and
Development Branch
UNFPA
220 E 42nd Street
Room 1753
NY 10017 New York

Tel : + 1 212 297 5266
Fax : + 1 212 297 4930
e-mail : farah@unfpa.org

World Bank

Ms. Shaida Badiee
Director of Development Data
Group
Department of Development
Economics
The World Bank
1818 H Street NW
20433 Washington D.C.
United States

Tel: +1 202 473 7611
Fax: +1 202 522 3546
e-mail: Sbadiee@worldbank.org



Mr. Misha Belkindas
Manager, Development Data
Group
The World Bank
1818 H Street, NW
20433 Washington D.C.
United States

Tel: +1 202 473-7611
Fax: +1 202 522-3669
e-mail: Mbelkindas@worldbank.org

Mr. Onno Ruhl
Manager Results and Learning
Africa Region
The World Bank
1818 H Street, NW
20433 Washington D.C.
United States

Tel: +1 202 458-9119
e-mail: oruhl@worldbank.org

Other Invitees

Host Organisation

Mr. Michael Roeskau
Director
Development Co-operation
Directorate
OECD
2, rue André Pascal
75016 Paris
France

Tel: + 33 1 45 24 9000
e-mail: Michael.Roeskau@oecd.org

Mr. Richard Carey
Deputy Director
Development Co-operation
Directorate
OECD
2, rue André Pascal
75016 Paris
France

Tel: + 33 1 45 24 89 83
e-mail: Richard.CAREY@oecd.org

Mr. Herman Specker
Development Cooperation
Directorate
OECD
2, rue Andre Pascal
75016 Paris
France

Tel: + 33 1 45 24 90 20
e-mail: Herman.specker@oecd.org

Ex-Officio

Dr. Kwaku A. Twum Baah
Ghana

e-mail: twum_baah@yahoo.com



Belgium	Mr. Guy Beringhs Conseiller de la Coopération Internationale, Délégué au CAD Représentation Permanente de la Belgique auprès de l'OCDE 14, rue Octave Feuillet 75116 Paris France	Tel: + 33 1 56 75 34 53 Fax: + 33 1 56 75 34 70 e-mail: guy.beringhs@diplobel.fed.be
Canada	Mr. Béla Prigly Director International Relations Division Statistics Canada	Telephone: + 613 951 8917 Fax: + 613 951 1231 e-mail: priglyb@statcan.ca
	Mr. Jonathan Rothschild Senior Economist Policy Branch CIDA 200, promenade du Portage, Gatineau (Québec) Canada K1A 0G4	Tel: + 819 997 1059 e-mail: jonathan_rothschild@acdi-cida.gc.ca
EFTA	Mr. Gabriel GAMEZ Statistical Adviser EFTA Batiment Bech Ave Alphonse Weicker Luxembourg	Tel: + 352 4301 33521 Fax: + 352 4301 32145 e-mail: gabriel.gamez@ext.cec.eu.int
Finland	Ms. Eija Pohjansaari Administrator Department for Development Policy Ministry for Foreign Affairs, Finland PO Box 176, 00161 Helsinki	Tel: +358-9-160 55069 e-mail: eija.pohjansaari@formin.fi
	Ms. Marika Pohjola Planning Officer Statistics Finland Secretariat of the Director General, Unit for International Affairs	Tel + 358 9 1734 2322 email: marika.pohjola@stat.fi
Norway	Mr. Halvard Lesteberg, Senior Adviser, NORAD Norway	Tel: + 47 22 242260 e-mail: halvard.lesteborg@norad.no
Evaluator	Mr. Pierre Spitz Independent Evaluator	e-mail: Pierrespitz@aol.com



PARIS21 Secretariat Manager

Mr. Antoine Simonpietri
Manager PARIS21
DCD / OECD
2, rue André Pascal
75016 Paris
France

Tel: + 33 1 45 24 14 64
Fax: + 33 1 45 24 94 06
e-mail:
Antoine.SIMONPIETRI@oecd.org

PARIS21 Secretariat Staff

Mr. Eric BENSEL
Mr. Samuel BLAZYK
Mr. Gérard CHENAIS
Ms. Adriana De LEVA
Mr. Lamine DIOP
Mrs. Amanda GAUTHERIN
Ms. Frances HARPER
Ms. Emer Heenan
Ms. Brigitte JULE-DEMARNE
Mr. Tony WILLIAMS
Mr. Jean-Paul VASQUEZ

Metagora

Mr. Paul CLARE
Mr. Tomas HEIMGARTNER
Mr. Raul SUAREZ
Ms. Sylvie WALTER